1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3		
4 5	SHERIF W. ABDOU, M.D. and AMIR S. BACCHUS, M.D.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02597-APG-CWH
6	Plaintiffs,	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
7	V.	(ECF No. 65)
8	DAVITA INC., HEALTHCARE PARTNERS HOLDINGS, LLC, and HEALTHCARE PARTNERS, LLC,	
10	Defendants.	
111213	AND RELATED CLAIMS.	
14	The Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction	
15	("Motion for TRO") filed by defendants DaVita Inc. f/k/a DaVita HealthCare Partners Inc.	
16	("DaVita"); Healthcare Partners Holdings, LLC ("HCP Holdings"); and DaVita Medical Nevada,	
17	LLC, f/k/a Healthcare Partners, LLC ("HCP") (collectively, the "DaVita Parties") came on for	
18	hearing on October 31, 2017, at 3:00 p.m.	
19	FINDINGS OF FACT	
20	Having considered the parties' briefs, the records and documents on file, and the arguments	
21	of counsel, I make the following preliminary findings of fact:	
22	1. In early 2012, DaVita and HCP entered into negotiations regarding a potential merge	
23	of the companies (the "Merger").	
24	2. On March 16, 2012, Abdou/Bacchus sued HCP Holdings and JSA Healthcare	
25	Nevada, LLC ("JSA Nevada"), a subsidiary of the DaVita Parties.	
26	3. On May 20, 2012, JSA Nevada and Abdou/Bacchus entered into settlement	
27	agreements (the "2012 Settlement Agreements").	
28	4. Pursuant to the 2012 Settlement Agreements, Abdou/Bacchus: (i) received substantia	

Page 1 of 8

DaVita and its subsidiaries and that they "shall be entitled to enforce" the Sale Noncompetes "by

That any breach of the Sale Noncompetes "would cause irreparable injury to"

27

28

d.

demanding specific performance and immediate injunctive relief as remedies for such breach or any threatened breach."

- 9. The Sale Noncompetes expressly prohibit Abdou/Bacchus from "directly or indirectly" engaging in or preparing to engage in (or having any interest in, or providing any assistance to, any entity or group that is engaging in or preparing to engage in) a "Restricted Business" in Nevada, California, Florida, or New Mexico (the "Restricted Region") for five (5) years from the closing date of the Merger (the "Restricted Period"). The Restricted Region is composed of states where the DaVita Parties continue to conduct their business operations.
- 10. Under the Sale Noncompetes, "Restricted Business" is defined to capture the type of services which Abdou/Bacchus provided to HCP—management of physician practices and organizations involved in population health management services, including:
 - a. "Physician practices, independent physician associations or any other form of practicing physician organization;"
 - b. "[O]rganizations engaged in coordinated care, managed care, accountable care and other similar models of care for a population of patients; ["Population Health Management"];"
 - c. "[O]rganizations which provide management or related services to organizations described in [(a) or (b)];"
 - d. "[H]ospitals, [Accountable Care Organizations], HMOs or other licensed health plans, but only insofar as [Abdou/Bacchus's] role (A) would include activities on behalf of such organizations described in [(a), (b), or (c)], including, but not limited to, having direct responsibility for or having direct influence in setting up, running, management or controlling a provider network; or (B) would include engaging in direct negotiations or consulting on negotiations on behalf of such organization with [DaVita] or its Subsidiaries . . .;" and
 - e. "[A]ssisting any third party to engage in [(a)] through (d)]."
- 11. The Sale Noncompetes expressly allow each Plaintiff to "personally [practice] medicine as a physician" and leave open many other types of work in the healthcare field.

- 12. The Sale Noncompetes contain a tolling provision that provides "the Restricted Period shall be tolled during any period that [Abdou/Bacchus are] in breach of the terms of" the Sale Noncompetes.
- 13. The closing date of the Merger was November 1, 2012. Accordingly, in the absence of any violations, the Sale Noncompetes would have expired on their own terms on November 1, 2017.
- 14. Some of the documents produced in discovery by Abdou/Bacchus on October 16, 2017 (the "Abdou/Bacchus Documents")¹ indicate that Abdou/Bacchus personally and through the companies that they own and control—P3 Health Group Holdings, LLC; P3 Health Group LLC; P3 Health Group Management, LLC; and P3 Health Partners (collectively, "P3 Health")—participated in meetings, discussions, negotiations, and strategy sessions with Anthem Inc. ("Anthem"), Humana Inc. ("Humana"), and Universal Health Services, Inc. ("UHS") from as early as January 15, 2016 through at least August 9, 2017, to engage in a Restricted Business in Nevada (a Restricted Region), including specific discussions and strategy sessions on actions that could be taken to adversely affect and displace the DaVita Parties' business operations in the Nevada healthcare market.
- 15. The DaVita Parties filed an Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction ("Motion for TRO") on October 24, 2017; Abdou/Bacchus filed their response on October 29, 2017; and the DaVita Parties submitted their reply in support of the Motion for TRO on October 31, 2017. I held a hearing on October 31, 2017. ECF No. 76.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. "A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish [1] that he is likely to succeed on the merits, [2] that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, [3] that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and [4] that an injunction is in the public interest." Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008). "Temporary restraining

¹ See ECF Nos. 67-2 through 67-9.

orders are governed by the same standard applicable to preliminary injunctions." *Quiroga v. Chen*, 735 F. Supp. 2d 1226, 1228 (D. Nev. 2010).

- 2. The DaVita Parties have demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits. I preliminarily find that Abdou/Bacchus engaged in a Restricted Business in the Restricted Region during the Restricted Period based on their activities with Anthem, Humana, and UHS (as evidenced by the Abdou/Bacchus Documents), which constitutes a breach of the Sale Noncompetes.
- 3. The DaVita Parties are likely to suffer irreparable harm unless a temporary restraining order issues.
- a. Abdou/Bacchus expressly recognized that, "due to [their] affiliation with" HCP, they "acquired intimate knowledge of, and experience related to, the business of [HCP Holdings] and [DaVita] which, if exploited by [Abdou/Bacchus] in contravention of the [Sale Noncompetes], would seriously, adversely, and irreparably affect the ability of [DaVita] and its Affiliates . . . to derive the benefit or value for which it bargained in the Merger Agreement."
- b. Abdou/Bacchus expressly agreed that any breach of the Sale Noncompetes "would cause irreparable injury" to DaVita and their subsidiaries (including HCP) and would entitle them to "immediate injunctive relief as remedies for such breach"
- c. The goodwill paid for by the DaVita Parties was a material and important aspect of the Merger, and they are entitled to the protections of the Sale Noncompetes for the Restricted Period. Allowing Abdou/Bacchus to exploit information, experience, and relationships they obtained while they were executives at HCP (e.g. relationships with Anthem, Humana, and UHS) during the Restricted Period deprives the DaVita Parties of the opportunity to build and maintain such relationships with those third parties (such as Anthem, Humana, and UHS) and interferes with the DaVita Parties' relationships with third parties—damaging the goodwill for which the DaVita Parties paid Abdou/Bacchus substantial consideration in the Merger.
- 4. The balance of the equities tilts in favor of the DaVita Parties. In the absence of injunctive relief the DaVita Parties will suffer irreparable harm. Conversely, Abdou/Bacchus will not suffer any harm during the pendency of this temporary restraining order. Abdou/Bacchus represented to the court at the hearing that no deal with Anthem, Humana, or UHS was imminent.

Thus, a short extension of the Sale Noncompetes with respect only to Anthem, Humana, and UHS would not harm Abdou/Bacchus pending resolution of the motion for a preliminary injunction.

- 5. Temporarily enforcing the Sale Noncompetes within the narrowly tailored parameters set forth below is in the public interest as the public has an interest in protecting the freedom of persons to contract.
- 6. As Abdou/Bacchus will suffer no harm due to this Temporary Restraining Order, no bond is necessary.

ORDER

- 1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for TRO (ECF No. 65) is GRANTED, and that a Temporary Restraining Order shall issue in favor of the DaVita Parties.
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Abdou/Bacchus as well as their officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Temporary Restraining Order by personal service or otherwise, are hereby enjoined from engaging in any of the following activities insofar as such activities are done in connection with or otherwise relate, directly or indirectly, to Anthem, Humana, or UHS (or any of their affiliates, agents, employees, or subsidiaries; e.g. Prominence Health Plan):
 - Take any action that results or may reasonably be expected to result in owning, leasing, managing, operating, joining, extending credit to, controlling or participating in the ownership, leasing, management, operation, extension of credit to, or control of, whether as an employer, shareholder, employee, director, manager, lender, joint venture, member, consultant, advisor or partners, whether or not compensated for any of the foregoing, with any business that, directly or indirectly anywhere within Nevada, California, Florida, and New Mexico, engages in or derives any economic benefit from, or is preparing to engage in or service any economic benefit from, the Restricted Business (as defined below); or
 - For his own account or for the account of others, own, manage, operate, join, control or
 participate in the ownership, management, operation or control of, or be connected as an
 employer, shareholder, employee, director, manager, lender, joint venture, member,
 consultant, advisor or partner, whether or not compensated for any of the foregoing, with any

business that, directly or indirectly anywhere within Nevada, California, Florida, and New Mexico engages in, or takes affirmative action to prepare to engage in or device economic benefit from, the Restricted Business.

- "Restricted Business" means any of the following:
 - i. Physician practices, independent physician association or any other form of practicing physician organization;
 - ii. organizations engaged in coordinated care, managed care, accountable care and other similar models of care for a population of patients;
 - iii. organizations which provide management or related services to organizations described in (i) or (ii);
 - iv. hospitals, ACOs, ancillary service providers, HMOs or other licensed health plans, but only insofar as Abdou/Bacchus's role (A) would include activities on behalf of such organization described in (i), (ii), or (iii), including but not limited to, having direct responsibility for or having direct influence in setting up, running, managing or controlling a provider network; or (B) would include engaging in direct negotiations or consulting on negotiations on behalf of such organization with Davita or its Subsidiaries (including HCP Holdings);
 - v. Dialysis Services or Renal Care Services (as defined herein), except to the extent that such services are only an incidental part of the services provided by the organization for which Abdou/Bacchus is providing services. "Dialysis Services or Renal Care Services" shall mean all dialysis services and nephrology-related services provided by Davita at any time during the period of Abdou/Bacchus' employment, including, but not limited to, hemodialysis, home hemodialysis, dialysis-related laboratory and pharmacy services, access-related services, drug purchasing, drug distribution, Method II dialysis supplies and services, nephrology practice management, vascular services, disease management services, pre-dialysis education, ckd services, or renal physician/dent network management, and any other services of treatment for persons diagnosed as having end state renal disease or pre-end stage renal disease, including

Page 8 of 8